Foreword

Fish constitute an important and plentiful source of high quality animal protein. Fisheries and aquaculture contribute 1.04 per cent to the national GDP and 5.34 per cent to agriculture and allied activities. Fishery resources are two types viz., marine and inland. India, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh have experienced a significant revolution in inland fishery production during the last six decades. Inland fisheries include fisheries in rivers, canals, reservoirs, ponds and tanks, beels, oxbow lakes, derelict water bodies and brackish water. In 1950-51 the share of inland fisheries was about 29.00 per cent in total fish production, which increased to nearly 52.00 per cent. Among the Asian countries, India ranks second in aquaculture and third in capture fisheries.

Having no coastal belt the fisheries sector in Bihar & Jharkhand is inland fisheries. It could play a significant role in realizing their development vision. Till date Bihar & Jharkhand states have not been able to fully exploit the given resource endowment. As a result the estimated annual fish production is much below its requirement. It is about 2.67 lakh tones against the estimated demand of 4.56 lakh tones in Bihar and in Jharkhand 0.83 lakh tones against the estimated demand of 1.40 lakh tones. In order to over-cover this sizeable deficit, Bihar imports 70-80 tones per day and Jharkhand imports 40-45 tones per day from outside the state (Andhra Pradesh and West Bengal). It is fully realized that both the states have diverse and highly suitable aquaculture with favourable agro-climatics, cheep labour, traditionally involved population and glorious history of fish, a fish rich state (Old Bihar).

In above backdrop, the present study, which examines the fisheries in Bihar & Jharkhand and its economics, will provide appropriate feed back to the policy makers and other stakeholders. The findings relating to CBRs viz., 1:1.81 in Bihar and 1:1.52 in Jharkhand clearly reveal that the fish farming in both the states is remunerative and thus, sustainable. Moreover, constraints their in have been identified, which need to overcome.

I have immense pleasure in putting on record the crucial work done by Dr. Ranjan Kumar Sinha and Dr. (Smt) Rosline Kusum Marandi and all other team members for completing this study with meaningful results. They all indeed deserve full appreciation.

B K Jha

Hon. Director Agro-Economic Research Centre for Bihar & Jharkhand T M Bhagalpur University Bhagalpur – 812 007

Preface

In developing economies, inland fisheries not only play an important role in the nutrition for the people but also provide livelihood for many people engaged in fisheries. The growth in inland fish production is spatial and intensive both, as scores of water bodies were brought under aquaculture and several schemes of development programmes in irrigation tanks and village ponds were introduced. The major driver of the growth has been the intervention of the Fish Farmers' Development Agencies (FFDAs) in training and technology of fish culture and improved fish management practices.

But in case of Bihar & Jharkhand states, interventions of FFDAs could not bring substantial change, despite the vast potentiality. Understanding of driving forces has been very little. Thus, the Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India has approved this study. Now it has been completed and its draft report has been evaluated by Prof. P K Mishra, Director, AER Centre, J N Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP). We are grateful to him for giving us valuable comments and suggestions, which have been appropriately attended while finalizing the report.

We deem it our holy duty to acknowledge and appreciate the guidance and co-operation of all those who have greatly helped us to complete this study. First of all, we are grateful to Prof (Dr) Balkrishna Jha, Director of the Centre for his overall guidance. We sincerely express our thanks to the Director(s) of Fishery Department of Bihar & Jharkhand and their colleagues at the district level --- Bhagalpur, Purnea & Madhubani in Bihar and Dumka and West Singhbhum in Jharkhand. We express our thankfulness to the respondent fish farmers for providing us necessary information and sparing their valuable time.

At the last, the present work is the outcome of the dedicated efforts made by the research team of the Centre, so we take this opportunity to thank Dr. Rajiv Kumar Sinha and Dr. S D Mishra, Research Associates of the Centre for their co-operation in data collection, Mr. Jai Shankar Choudhary for final computer typing and Mr. Anil Kumar Saraf and Ganesh Prasad Vishwakarma for secretarial assistance.

Rosline Kusum Marandi

Research Associate-Cum-Co Project Leader

Ranjan Kumar Sinha

Research Officer-Cum-Project Leader

List of Tables

Chapter	Table No	o. Pa	artic	ulars	Page No.
I.	1.1 Contrib 1.2 Contrib 1.3 Outlays 1.4 Distribu	2 3 3 8			
		I.		Bihar	
II.	2.2 Inland I 2.3 Fish Se	and Expenditure on Fis Fish Landings by Spec ed Production wise number of Ponds	ies	s under State Sector Schemes Water area in Bihar	11 12 12 13
		II.		Jharkhand	
	2.6 Inland I	and Expenditure on Fis Fish Landings by Spec ise/District wise numbe	ies in		15 15 16
		l.		Bihar	
III.	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	Land use Pattern in Stringational Status Sc Anchal wise Distribut Socio-Economic Fea Population of the Fal	Samplource values tion of atures mily many mily of the state of the st	wise in Sample Districts Jalkars in Sample Districts of the Sample Respondents members of the Sample Respondents me Sample Respondents	20 21 21 22 23 24 24 25
		II.		Jharkhand	
	3.9 3.10 3.11 3.12 3.13 3.14 3.15 3.16	Land use Pattern in Stringational Status Anchal wise Distribut Socio-economic Fea Population of the Fai	Sample Source tion of tures of the mily many of the mily many of the mily many of the mily many of the mily of the	ce wise in Sample Districts Jalkars in Sample Districts of the Sample Respondents nembers of the Sample Respondents ne Sample Respondents	26 27 28 28 29 30 30 31
		l.		Bihar	
IV.	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	Input Structure of To Per hectare Cost and Per hectare Cost and Per hectare Cost and Per hectare Cost and	otal Far d Retu d Retu d Retu d Retu osal o	Irn of Fish Farming of Total Farms Irn of Fish Farming of Small Farms Irn of Fish Farming of Medium Farms Irn of Fish Farming of Large Farms If Fish of Total Farms In different Channels	33 34 35 36 36 37 37 38 39

II. Jharkhand

	4.10	Details of Ponds area, Nature and Jamabandi of Sample Households		
	4.11	Input Structure of Total Farms	41	
	4.12	Per hectare Cost and Return of Fish Farming of Total Farms	42	
	4.13	Per hectare Cost and Return of Fish Farming of Small Farms	43	
	4.14	Per hectare Cost and Return of Fish Farming of Medium Farms Per hectare Cost and Return of Fish Farming of Large Farms		
	4.15			
	4.16	Production and Disposal of Fish of Total Farms	44	
	4.17	Quantity Marketed through different Channels	45	
	4.18	Credit Status of Total Fish Farmers	46	
		I. Bihar		
V.	5.1	Constraints Faced by the Sample Households	47	
-	5.2	Suggestions given by the Sample Households	51	
		II. Jharkhand		
	5.3	Constraints Faced by the Sample Households	52	
	5.4	Suggestions given by the Sample Households	55	

Contents

Chapter	Parti	Particulars				
	F-4-7-4-4		i			
	Foreword					
	Preface		ii			
	List of Tables		iii-iv			
I. INT	RODUCTION		1-8			
II. FIS	II. FISHERIES IN BIHAR AND JHARKHAND					
III. STI	III. STUDY AREA AND THE SAMPLE RESPONDENTS					
IV. ECONOMICS OF FISH FARMING						
V. PR	OBLEMS AND P	ROSPECTS OF FISH FARMING	47-55			
VI. SU	MMARY AND CC	NCLUSIONS	56-75			
	References:		76			
	Annexure - I:	Inland Fishery Resources by States & Union Territories	77			
	Annexure - II:	Fishermen Population – 2003	78			
	Annexure - III:	Structure of Fisheries Co-operatives	79			
	Appendix – I:	Comments on the Study by the AERC,				
	A 1' TT	JNKVV, Jabalpur	80			
	Appendix – II:	Action Taken Report (ATR)	81			