Preface

Traditionally Indian farmers relied on equipments, which were simple and could be easily fabricated by village craftsmen. Since introduction of mechanical power, agricultural engineering started gaining importance and thus; organized professional activities started. Though farm mechanization is increasing in India, it is mostly region specific. Besides the region specificity, the growth of agricultural mechanization is mainly hindered by the impediment of decreasing trend in operational land holdings. One of the major factors for poor response of farmers towards mechanization may be that mechanization of small and contiguous groups of land is found to be against economics of scale. Having understood the conformity of farm mechanization with increased production level at lower costs of production; in course of time policy efforts have been made by the Government of India. In addition to two Central Sector Schemes (namely; (i) Promotion and Strengthening of Agricultural Mechanization through Training, Testing and Demonstration, and; (ii) Post-harvest Technology and Management during the 11th Plan Period programmes like; MMA, RKVY, NHM and NFSM), are also being implemented for promotion of mechanization. In the above backdrop and based on the primary survey of 100 farmers randomly chosen (50 each from high and low mechanized villages/strata), this study seeks to study the effects of mechanization on agricultural growth and comparative economics of labour and machinery in Bihar.

While the secondary data sources and information provide the breadth of effects of mechanization in agricultural sector as a whole, the primary data based-inputs provide the depth. We are sure that the Policy Makers; Agricultural Scientists, scholars, practitioners and officers of Agriculture and allied departments will find this study useful for their purposes.

We express our thanks to DES, Department of Agriculture & Co-operation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India for assigning this most significant study to this Centre. We will be failing somewhere, it effusive thanks are not extended to Dr. CSC Sekhar, Associate Professor, IEG, Delhi, who provided his able guidance in conduct of the study and also valuable inputs in the form of comments on draft report of this study.

We are highly grateful to Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor, Prof. (Dr.) N K Verma and the Registrar, Prof. (Dr.) Md. Tahir Hussain Warsi of T M Bhagalpur University, who always and genuinely offered their administrative support and co-operation in conduct of this study.

We also express our heartiest thanks to Prof. (Dr.) Arun Kumar Singh, BAU, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Er. Pankaj Kumar of KVK, Sabour, Bhagalpur, District Agriculture Officer, Bhagalpur, Sri Amitabh Anand, Sri Vikram Kumar, Sri Ram Lakhan Singh of Farm Mechanization Bank, Shahkund, Bhagalpur, Sri Shankar Prasad Mandal, Sri Sriprasad Sah and other respondents of the surveyed villages.

Our sincere thanks are due to our research team. Since this is the outcome of a team work, so the members of the project team deserve our special thanks.

Basant Kumar Jha Director Agro-Economic Research Centre for Bihar & Jharkhand T M Bhagalpur University Bhagalpur – 812 007

List of Tables

Chapters	Table No.	Name of Tables	Page No.	
Chapter-I	1	Distribution of the Sample Households (Hhs) by Farm size classes of Villages Chosen		
Chapter-II	2.1	Progress of Agricultural Mechanization Programmes/Schemes in Bihar during 11 th Five Year Plan	10	
	2.2	Share of Machinery Costs in Operational Costs (Average of 2001-02 to 2009-10)	11	
	2.3	Share of Machinery Costs in Total Costs (Average of 2001-02 to 2009-10)	12	
	2.4	Share of Machinery Costs in Valuation of Production (Average of 2001-02 to 2009-10)	13	
	2.5	Growth of Costs (in 2008-09 over 1996-97)	14	
	2.6	Growth of Production (In 2008-09 over 1996-97)	14	
Chapter-III	3.1	Demographic Profile	17	
	3.2 (a)	Education of the Head	17	
	3.2 (b)	Per cent Distribution of Education of the Head	18	
	3.2 (c)	Education Profile of Adult Population	19	
	3.2 (d)	Per cent Distribution of Adult Education Population	19	
	3.3 (a)	Caste Composition	20	
	3.3 (b)	Per cent Distribution of Caste Composition	21	
	3.4 (a)	Irrigation Details	21	
	3.4 (b)	Percentage Distribution of Irrigated Area by Source	22	
	3.5	Cropping Pattern over all seasons (2008-09)	23	
	3.5 (a)	Cropping Pattern over all seasons (2009-10)	23	
	3.5 (b)	Cropping Pattern over all seasons (2010-11)	24	
Chapter-IV	4.1 (a)	Input Costs (Average of 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11)	28	
'	4.1 (b)	Percentage Distribution of Input Costs	28	
	4.2	Cost of Mechanization vis-à-vis Value of Output (Average of 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11)	28	
	4.3 (a)	Costs of Mechanization Operation wise	29	
	4.3 (b)	Percentage Distribution of Costs of Mechanization Operation wise	30	
Chapter-V	5.1	Extent of Farm Machinery Use	32	
	5.2 (a)	Number of Farmers Owning Machinery Operation wise	33	
	5.2 (b)	Percentage Distribution of Farmers Owning Machinery Operation wise	34	
	5.3 (a)	Number of Farmers using Machinery Operation wise	34	
 I	5.3 (b)	Percentage Distribution of Farmers using Machinery Operation wise	35	
	5.4 (a)	Total Number of Hours of usage Operation wise	36	
	5.4 (b)	Percentage Distribution of Number of Hours of usage Operation wise	36	
	5.5 (a)	Ploughing and Seedbed Preparation (Absolute Nos.)	37	
	5.5 (b)	Ploughing and Seedbed Preparation (In %)	37	
	5.6 (a)	Sowing and Planting (Absolute Nos.)	38	
	5.6 (b)	Sowing and Planting (In %)	38	
	5.7 (a)	Irrigation (Absolute Nos.)	39	
	5.7 (b)	Irrigation (In %)	39	
	5.8 (a)	Weeding and Inter-culture (Absolute Nos.)	39	
	5.8 (b)	Weeding and Inter-culture (In %)	39	
	5.9 (a)	Plant Protection Equipment (Absolute Nos.)	40	
	5.9 (b)	Plant Protection Equipment (In %)	40	
	5.10 (a)	Harvesting (Absolute Nos.)	41	
	5.10 (a)	Harvesting (Absolute Nos.)	41	
	5.11 (a)	Threshing (Absolute Nos.)	42	
	5.11 (b)	Threshing (In %)	42	
	5.12 (a)	Transportation and Marketing (Absolute Nos)	43	
	5.12 (a) 5.13 (b)	Transportation and Marketing (Absolute Nos)	43	
Chapter-VI		Reasons for using Machinery (Absolute Nos.)	43	
Shapter-vi	6.1 (a)			
	6.1 (b) 6.2 (a)	Reasons for using Machinery (In %.)	45	
		Operations for which the Machines are used (Absolute Nos.)	45	
	6.2 (b)	Operations for which the Machines are used (In %)	46	
	6.3	Ploughing	46	

6.4	Sowing and Planting	47
6.5	Irrigation	48
6.6	Weeding and Inter-culture	48
6.7	Plant Protection Equipment	49
6.8	Harvesting	49
6.9	Threshing	50
6.10	Marketing and Transportation	50
6.11.1	Ploughing	53
6.11.2	Sowing and Planting	54
6.11.3	Irrigation, Weeding and Plant Protection	54
6.11.4	Harvesting, Threshing and marketing	55
6.12	Usefulness of Machinery	56
6.13	Awareness and Assistance Received under Government Programmes	57
6.14	Usefulness of the Programmes	57
6.15	Increase in Area after Mechanization	58

Project Team

Overall Guidance & Supervision	:	Prof. (Dr.) Basant Kumar Jha Director
Project Leader	:	Dr. Rajiv Kumar Sinha Research Associate & Dr. (Mrs.) Rosline Kusum Marandi Research Associate
Analysis of Data	:	Dr. Ranjan Kumar Sinha Research Officer
Data Collection & Tabulation	:	Sri Rambalak Choudhary Research Officer
Computer Typing	:	Mr. Jai Shankar Choudhary Typist
Secretarial Assistance	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Saraf Accounts Assistant
		Mr. Ganesh Pd. Vishwakarma

Contents

Chap	ter Particulars	Page Nos.	
	Preface List of tables	i-ii iii-iv	
Ι	Introduction	1-6	
II.	Mechanization Programmes & Trends of Mechanization in the State	7-15	
III.	Demographic Profile and Cropping Pattern of the Study Region	16-24	
IV.	Costs of Mechanization	25-31	
V.	Pattern of Mechanization	32-43	
VI.	Farmers' Perception	44-59	
VII.	Summary, Conclusions & Policy Implications	60-69	
	Notes & References Annexure - I: Comments on the Draft Report Annexure-II: Action Report	70 71-74 75-76	